## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES FILED

		2008 APR 23 PM 3: 23
TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE HUDGE CLEHAVES DISTRICT COURT		
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 4/18/2008		
CASE NO.: 08cv0566 WOH (JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: BY Petitioner DEPUTY		
CASE TITLE: Saenz v. Unknown		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: First Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
/	Local Rule	Discrepancy
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
$\bar{\Box}$		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: No original signature. Improper format.
		Date forwarded: _4/18/2008
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
A	The docum	nent is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
]	The docum	nent is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a s order on all parties.
	Rejected de	ocument to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is to Local Ru	advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant ale 83.1  CHAMBERS OF: Pro Solvate Poor Aides Haves
	Dated: 4/	23/08 By: To S Law Clark